UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/583,874	12/28/2006 Kouji Tamori		292776US0PCT	8760	
	7590 03/13/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE ST	REET	HARLAN, ROBERT D			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1796			
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		03/13/2009	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary		Application No).	Applicant(s)					
			10/583,874		TAMORI ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Robert D. Harla		1796				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ars on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE INSTRUCTION OF THE INSTRUC	MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. statutory period will y will, by statute, care	TE OF THIS C (a). In no event, ho apply and will expir ause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 26 Aug	aust 2008.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election requir	ement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) [5) [6) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/583,874 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-7 in the reply filed on 08/26/2008 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no adequate reasons and/or examples have been provided to support a conclusion of patentable distinctness between the identifiable groups. This is not found persuasive because the Restriction Requirement made by Examiner Koslow was proper based on USPTO practice. However, the Examiner will consider rejoining the claims at the close of prosecution.
- 2. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point

Art Unit: 1796

out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 3-6, the Applicants use the term "W/O type (water-in-oil type)." Description of "type" is properly set forth in the specification rather than the claims. If stated in the claims, "type" leads to confusion over the intended scope of a claim. Therefore, each occurrence of "type" should be deleted and the parenthetical language should be deleted.

- 5. Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 1-2 are allowable. The closest prior art is Toenjes et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,102,580 (hereinafter "Toenjas").

 Toenjes teaches an emulsion composition comprising a blend of block copolymers in water/oil mixtures. See Toenjes, Abstract; col. 1, line 59 through col. 2, line 54. The two copolymers each contain EO-PO; however, the first polymer contains bishydroxyalkyl ether and the second polymer contains 2-amino-2hydroxymethyl-1,3-propanediol. See Toenjes, col. 3, lines 9-62. The difference between the current invention and Teonjas is that the current invention requires a sulfonated polymer soluble in water.

Art Unit: 1796

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 273-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,874 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

/Robert D. Harlan/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

rdh